

From: ["Phyllis Strickland" <phyllis.strickland@gmail.com>](mailto:Phyllis.Strickland@gmail.com)  
To: [CannabisEIR <CannabisEIR@santacruzcounty.us>](mailto:CannabisEIR@santacruzcounty.us)  
Date: 10/31/2017 10:04:35 AM  
Subject: Cannabis DEIR comments

DEIR Representative,

I've just taken a look at how the changes in parcel minimum size could affect the increase in commercial licensing in my own Residential Agricultural neighborhood. 75% of my neighborhood, representing parcels along one street, could be eligible for commercial grows. I was shocked! This is a burdensome concentration in a residential neighborhood and a betrayal of families and children in the County. Please address the following questions.

#### DEIR 2.3.2 Proposed Development Standards for Commercial Cultivation Table 2-2

Q1. For each individual RA neighborhood in each County Supervisors District what is the increase in the number of eligible parcels, based on parcel size alone, from the Project (5 acre Minimum) to the more Permissive Project (2.5 acre minimum)?

What percentage of the total neighborhood acreage does each Project represent? What % of the total parcels does this represent?

How many children are present in each of these neighborhoods?

What concentration of commercial grows in any one residential neighborhood is considered to have a significant negative effect on neighborhood cohesion, quality of life and the exposure of children to cannabis operations?

#### DEIR Table 2-3 Maximum Canopy Size

Q2. For each individual RA neighborhood in each County Supervisor's District, what is the total permissible canopy (square feet) based on parcel size alone and its estimated \$ value (\$1000/plant?) under both the Project and the More Permissible Project?

Q3. What concentration of cannabis product in any one residential neighborhood is considered significant with respect to neighborhood safety, quality of life and the exposure of children to cannabis operations?

Q4. How many non-growers groups were surveyed in each district and/or county wide regarding changes in minimum parcel size or doubling of permissible canopy? What survey questions were asked?

Q5. What data did the DEIR use to estimate the likely # and % of illegal growers expected to seek licenses with the changes in parcel and canopy size? What are those #'s/percentages? What percentage was considered

significant enough to result in recommending the most permissive project?

Phyllis Strickland

---