

From: ["Michael Sapunor"](#)

To: ["Matt Johnston" <Matt.Johnston@santacruzcounty.us>](#)

Date: 10/30/2017 10:53:41 AM

Subject: FW: Environmental Impact Review - Santa Cruz County - Feedback from Public

EIR comments

Michael Sapunor

Resource Planner IV

Cannabis Licensing Office

(831) 454-3405

michael.sapunor@santacruzcounty.us

From: Will Garrison [mailto:razorback0416@yahoo.com]

Sent: Saturday, October 28, 2017 6:51 PM

To: Loretta Moreno <Loretta.Moreno@santacruzcounty.us>; Michael Sapunor <Michael.Sapunor@santacruzcounty.us>

Cc: Dennis Farley <farley4us@yahoo.com>; Richard Rodrigues <richard@happyhollowfarmsor.com>; Viyda Schatz <viyda@gcanoregon.com>; Nikki Hogan <nikki@gcanoregon.com>; Celmafreire <celmafreire@yahoo.com>; Nelson Junco <nelson@happyhollowfarmsor.com>

Subject: Environmental Impact Review - Santa Cruz County - Feedback from Public

Loretta and Michael,
Hope you both are fantastic!

Please forward to the "pile" of comments, in re: to the EIR for the county cannabis ordinance.

I, personally, am very pleased with the recommendations of the EIR..specifically in regards to providing a "more inclusive" cultivation ordinance allowing more existing operators to continue with their livelihood / vocations.

My ain issues are:

- > Be sure that growing in SU zone is protected in regards to being able to cultivate and participate in other cannabis activities.
- > Be sure the growing in TP zones is protected and people who are so zoned are able to participate in cannabis activities.
- > Recommend that when multiple parcels are part of the same farm operation, as long as the parcels are adjacent and contiguous, waive the requirement that each parcel have a resident manager. Again, this enhancement to the ordinance will be beneficial from environmental impact viewpoint because it will reduce the number of living quarters required for grow operations.
- > Similarly, waive the requirement that each parcel must grow its allotment of cannabis on its own footprint... where the parcels are adjacent and contiguous, allow all cultivation activities to occur in one location - reduces environmental impact and costs TREMENDOUSLY.
- > The fire water requirements appear somewhat onerous...suggestion I heard at meeting when EIR was released, where each situation evaluated on case by case basis and alternative means of providing same level of fire protection should be considered.
- > The hazmat rules - seem very straight forward and logical...
- > The wildlife, fish and game, etc. requirements seem fine.
- > Believe I heard that the draft will require fencing to be restricted to immediately surrounding the cannabis operations. This is a very good idea and will be beneficial to animals traversing their existing trails....

> All in all - a wonderful job by professional and dedicated people!

Please advise if questions, etc. 479-301-5108.

Thanks!

Will Garrison

cc: Dennis and Celma Farley
Richard Rodrigues
Fernando Rodrigues
Nelson Junco
Viyda Schatz
Nikki Hogan